Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for Kampala City and Metropolitan Region

Final Report


Shuaib Lwasa Makerere University

February 2013

Executive Summary

This report presets a greenhouse gas emission inventory that was conducted as a baseline for Kampala city and 2012 as the base year. The inventory was conducted using the Global Protocol for Community-Scale Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GPC). The GPC builds on previous protocols that include the International Local Government GHG Emissions Analysis Protocol (ICLEI), Draft International Standard for Determining Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Cities (UNEP/UN-HABITAT/WB), GHG Protocol Standards (WRI/WBCSD), Baseline Emissions Inventory/Monitorina Emissions Inventory methodology (EC-CoM IRC). and Local Government Operations Protocol (ICLEI-USA). The baseline results are estimates of community-based emissions attributed to the 196 sq km of surface area of Kampala city and the city region that spans an area of 941.2 sq km. The population estimates of Kampala city at base year stand at 1.72 m and for the city-region 3.56 m adjusted from 2007 data. The total CO2e emissions stand at 313,320 tCO₂e distributed as 53,178.5 tCO₂e from stationary units, 26,407.3 tCO2e from mobile units, 203,771 tCO2e from wastes, 29,926.4 tCO2e from Industrial Processes and Product uses and 35.5 tCO2e from Agriculture, Forestry and Land Use. Using the adjusted population of the city, the percapita emission stands at 0.18216 tCO2e for in-boundary population and 0.08801 tCO2e for combined in-boundary and out-boundary population.

Emissions are calculated based on activity data acquired from multiple sources and checked for minimization of overestimate and underestimate. Activity data was acquired from national statistical databases, agency databases, survey databases for the city and city-region as well as field based interviews for a selected sample of informal activities in the city. Informal activity data is based on sector-based activities of production and service provision in economic zones of the city including small-medium-large scale industrial-commercial areas within and out of the city adjusted to the base year estimates. Emission factors for the different sectors are applied to calculate in-boundary and out-boundary emissions to establish the relationships between city-specific and city influenced emissions. Estimates of CH₄ and N₂O are calculated as CO₂ equivalents using the standard warming factors. A summary of the results by major sector (figure 1) and subsector (figure 2) are shown in the figures below;



Sub-Sector Emissions tCO2e Residential buildings 35.50 5,324.53 6,955.03 0% Commercial Institutional Facilities 2% 2.743.24 1% 29,926,44 Industrial Energy Use 9% 1 33 40,898.94 0% On-Road Transportation 13% 0.02 0% Railways 70,385.22 23,662.65 22% Water Borne Navigation M Aviation Solid Waste Disposal 1.61 096 Waste Incineration and Open Burning 133,385.06 Waste Water Treatment and Discharge Industrial Processes and Product Uses Agriculture, Forestry and Land Use

Figure 1; Total Emissions by Sector 2012

Figure 2, Total emissions by sub-sector 2012

Results indicate that waste sector in general specifically solid waste and waste treatment subsectors rank first and second representing 43% and 22%. Industrial energy use ranks third with 13% of the emissions while 9% of the total emissions are from residential buildings. This distribution is related to the population and the different practices for waste and wastewater treatment, which are characterized by pit-latrines and scattered dumping grounds for solid wastes. The emissions from wastes also relate to the chemical transformation characterized by methane from decomposing wastes. The summary results provide insight into the lifecycle of materials in the city as well as pathways for emissions generation. In that respect the distribution of emissions by sector also gives insight into entry points for mitigation planning. The city's plans for a lowcarbon city will be informed by the baseline data. In conclusion, the GPC provides an opportunity to estimate in-boundary emissions, which requires detailed activity data for the geographic units. This bounding is useful in attributing the estimates to the communities where activities are undertaken but it also has challenges including the availability and reliability of detailed data. In cities where databases are non-existent or not up-to-date, the activity data is adjusted on basis of assumptions in order to calculate sector-based emissions. Thus the GPC requires being adapted to the specific city as some sub-sectors and or categories may not be applicable to the community.

1. Introduction

Several cities have been reporting greenhouse gas emissions in the last decade [1,2]. This has been motivated by the need to develop city mitigation plans, which require baseline data for targeting and benchmarking [3]. This emissions inventory was carried out following the guidelines of the Global Protocol for Community-Based Emissions released in 2012, which emphasizes community scale emissions calculated using activity data within and outside the geopolitical boundaries [4]. Emission factors for the different categories of the fuels and sources are utilized to calculate disaggregated emissions of Kampala city and the city-region [5]. The city-region is the geographic extent as defined in the Kampala Physical Development Plan with in which recent surveys on transportation and energy use have been conducted [6]. Emissions estimates are based on both consumption and production activities with accounting taking into considerations required adjustments for non-double counting. The GPC's inclusion in activity data of community-scale emission sources enabled collection and calculation of emissions from both formal and informal economic sources. A summary of the emissions is presented using the tables for reporting as provided for in the GPC [7].

2. Inventory Objective

There are several energy consuming activities responsible for emissions in the city though there has not been a geographically focused inventory of the greenhouse gas emissions. As noted in the urban vulnerability assessment report, the city relies heavily on fossil fuel for transportation, electricity generation, domestic uses and industrial sectors [8,9]. The major objective of the inventory is to profile the energy consuming activities of the city on basis of which conduct a GHG inventory for Kampala that includes informal and formal activities. The second objective is to formulate recommendations for emissions reduction and mitigation in the city.

3. Methods and materials

Future impacts of climate change on cities are projected to increase and thus mitigation is necessary but that requires an understanding of the sources of and estimation of GHG emissions in cities. The GHG inventory is a critical prerequisite for policy support and response toward mitigation but has to be supported by strategy development and implementation [1,10]. The inventory was conducted with the Global Protocol for Community-Scale Greenhouse Gas Emissions which is adapted from various frameworks based on internationally accepted tools for quantifying the GHG emissions attributable to cities and local regions [7]. The inventory of GHG emissions in Kampala spins off a broad assessment of vulnerability to climate change in which mitigation strategies were identified as necessary and would couple with adaptation measures. The inventory utilizes the GPC framework estimating emissions from three levels and data adjusted to calculation of the emissions. These are *Scope 1*; GHG emissions that occur within the territorial boundary of the city or local region; in the context of Kampala, the city is administratively limited to the 196 sq km surface

area including the water body based on the most recent Kampala Physical Development Plan boundaries that were agreed with both regional governments and Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) [6]. Scope 2 covers the indirect emissions that occur outside of the city boundary as a result of activities that occur within the city, limited to only electricity consumption which generated from thermal plants some of which are in the city-region. The city region is the area outside the city administrative boundaries covering and estimated 941 sq. km around the city's administrative boundaries, which was defined in the recent Kampala Physical Development Plan. For this category emissions were also calculated for agriculture, forestry and land use changes in the peri-urban zones of the region focused on biomass consumption [11]. Scope 3; is any other indirect emissions and embodied emissions that occur outside of the city boundary, as a result of activities of the city. In this category of emission sources, marine and air transport were the focus as they apply to Kampala with estimates of activities originating from the city that generate emissions associated with these modes of transportation.

3.1. Data Sources

Data were collected from various sources in all activities included in the calculations. Databases from agencies responsible were collected and some of it adjusted using the recent survey data from KCCA mapping and physical planning exercise. The table below shows the different data sources and adjustments made for the calculations.

Data required	Source	Adjustments
ENERGY		
Stationary Combustion	ESKOM, UBOS, ERA	Data on thermal plants and hydro units were collected and adjusted to estimated production capacity and fuel usage
Electricity (incl. T&D losses	Electricity Transmission Company, UMEME	Transmission agency data and end user data used in estimates
Commercial & Institutional	KCCA data on buildings	Data on buildings adjusted using recent mapping by type within KCCA boundaries collaborated with UMEME data some of which was georeferenced
Residential	KCCA data on buildings	Data adjusted using recent city- wide household survey to estimate buildings within and outside KCCA boundaries
Manufacturing Industries & Construction	KCCA mapping data on buildings, UMA and UIA lists by type	Data collaborated from Uganda Investment database with recent mapping to estimate industrial activity within KCCA boundaries

Other		
Mobile Combustion	UNRA, weighing bridge data	Traffic counts by type acquired from UNRA, URA and collaborated with UBOS data
Road transportation: LDVs	UBOS transportation data	Data was insufficient but some collected from UBOS and UNRA. It was largely aggregated to national and vehicle types
Road transportation: trucks	UBOS, KCCA, UNRA, weighing bridge data	Data of trucks like LDV's was aggregated and estimates conducted using the transportation survey in Kampala of 2011 on trips by type
Road transportation: other	KCCA transportation survey	Utilized the trip by mode data from the KCCA transportation survey
Railways	UBOS, Rift Valley Railways	Data from UIA and GIS database eon length within KCCA boundaries
Domestic aviation	CAA data	Data from UBOS though insufficient in terms of details fro estimate of activities originating from city
International aviation	CAA data	Data collected from Civil Aviation Authority as it was easier for them than domestic data
Domestic marine	Rift Valley Railways	Collected from Rift Valley Railways but since it's a relative new company, it was mainly recent data points with gaps
INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES		KCCA data on properties and recent surveys. Though not very representative and the level of aggregation was high to attribute proper estimates by category
AFOLU	KCCA mapping data	Determination of emission using time series land use change data from the recent mapping of KCCA and city- region. Additional data acquired from recent scientific study
WASTE		
Solid waste disposal on land	KCCA landfill data	Data collected at weighing bridge of KCCA landfill and adjusted with current statistics of wastes processed by

		individuals and amounts left on dumping sites as well as homes and business establishments
Wastewater handling	NWSC data on treated water	National Water and Sewerage Corporation provided recent and historical data from the treatment plant
Waste incineration	Ministry of health incineration data	Ministry of health data and a few industries that have incinerators

3.2. Data on fuel

Data specific to fuel imports and usage by activity was collected from various sources and published documents. The sources are indicated below and adjustments on the data using the GPC calculations.

ENERG	Ϋ́					
	Electricity (on-site renewable)	URA fuels imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Electricity (grid	ESKOM generation data and transmission data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Natural gas TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Fuel oil TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Gasoline TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Diesel TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
Jet Fuel TJ t CO2 e / TJ		URA imports data, collaborated with CAA data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Marine Fuel TJ t CO ₂ e / TJ	URA imports data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
INDUS	TRIAL PROCESSES					
WAST	3					
	Solid waste disposal on land kt t CO2 e / kt	KCCA landfill data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
	Solid waste disposal onsite of generation kt CO ₂ e/kt	KCCA data on survey	Adjusted using percapita generation and emission factors of decomposition to CO ₂ e			
	Wastewater handling kt BOD t CO2 e / kt	NWSC data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			
BOD		Landfill leachates data, water treatment plant data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO ₂ e			

Waste incineration kt t CO ₂ e / kt	Ministry of health incineration data	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e		
Human waste managed using pit latrines	KCCA data	Adjusted using percapita generation and emission factors of decomposition to CO ₂ e		
Methane from livestock management	KCCA data colloborated with ministry of Agriculture and Animal Industries data	Adjusted using livestock emission factors by type of decomposition to CO ₂ e		
AFOLU9 add AFOLU activity data as appropriate)	KCCA land use data	Two event land use snapshots provided an estimate of change and deforestation within boundary of city		

3.3. Upstream (Embodied) Greenhouse Gas Emissions

ENERGY		
Electricity (on-site renewable) GWh t CO2 e / GWh	ESKOM power generating company	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Electricity (grid) GWh t CO2 e / GWh	ESKOM and Electricity Transmission Company Ltd	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Natural gas TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Fuel oil TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Gasoline TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Diesel TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Jet Fuel TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
Marine Fuel TJ t CO2 e / TJ	URA imports data and estimates used in Kampala	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
WATER ML t CO2 e/ ML	NWSC data from the treatment plants and city-region systems	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e
BUILDING MATERIALS		
Cement Kt t CO2 e / kt	URA imports, UMA data	Adjusted using emission

	on local producers	factors and calculated to CO2e			
Steel Kt t CO ₂ e / kt	URA imports	Estimates based on housing stock increment in the city			
Bricks Kt t CO2 e / kt	From sample of building material sales data	Estimates of bricks produced based on increment in housing stock within city			
FOOD					
Cereals Kt t CO2 e / kt	Food inflows into city KCCA, Ministry of Agriculture	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e			
Fruits Kt t CO2 e / kt	Food inflows into city KCCA, Ministry of Agriculture	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e			
Meat Kt t CO2 e / kt	Food inflows into city KCCA, Ministry of Agriculture	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e			
Seafood Kt t CO2 e / kt	This was found to be negligible since there are few sea food specialized restaurants in the city				
Dairy Kt t CO2 e / kt	Food inflows into city KCCA, Ministry of Agriculture, Dairy Authority	Adjusted using emission factors and calculated to CO2e			

4. Measuring GHG emissions

The principles of GHG inventory are adhered to in the inventory but there are data gaps and quality issues, which are specified, in the reporting summary tables. This GHG inventory utilizes the GPC and is in line with the revised IPCC [12] emission guidelines and emission factors. However emissions related to specific activity sectors such as land use change and deforestation associated with urbanization were calculated basing on adjusting scientifically derived emissions based on available peer-reviewed literature [11,13]. Measurability, accuracy, relevance, consistence, transparence and completeness principles are followed but this is to a varied degree because of the multiplicity of activities and energy mix that made it challenging to estimate fuel consumption and emission of the activities. Energy mix in stationary combustion activities such as residential, industrial process is complex that disaggregated data would be useful in high accurate estimates but data at the level of disaggregation was not available or insufficient. For the informal sector, a sample of activities in economic zones was taken and average energy utilization estimated from usage data. These averages were liked to the data on industrial establishment, which was available but adjusted to the base year. Major energy sources are electricity; fuel wood, charcoal and other biomass in form of saw dust or husks. Because of frequent power load shedding and blackouts, commercial entities as well as residential building generate power with combustion of several fuels most of which are imported. Data on the additional fuel usage was collected and monthly

averages calculated to estimate emissions from combustion to generate power on-site.

5. Materials and methods

Household Survey data; a recent household survey was conducted as part of the Kampala physical development plan formulation [6]. This was based on a 3000 sample of households with data on basic housing parameters, transport modes, trips and destination, energy use and vehicle-kilometer coverage. The sample comprises of households within the boundary of Kampala as well as outside the boundary of Kampala. The Kampala boundary of 196 sq km is considered in this inventory as the community boundary on basis of which all in-boundary estimates are calculated. The out-boundary community geographically covers an area of 941.2 sq km engulfing the adjacent satellite towns and rural settlements in the region as shown in Map 1 below. This extends from 20 miles northwards stretching to Entebbe airport in the south. The survey included informal and formal business enterprises especially transportation by motorcycles which data supported calculations of emissions associated with mobile combustion.


Statistical abstract, business register and demographic and household survey; the inventory also utilized data from the statistical abstract published in 2005 by UBOS adjusted using the demographic and household survey of 2009[14]. In addition the business register of 2005 was reviewed and data on Kampala and the region utilized for estimates. The publication has disaggregated data on commercial entities, industrial activity, energy generation, energy use and other activities like livestock raring, which have been included in the inventory to account for methane and nitrous oxide emissions. An inclusion not provided for in the GPC but a common practice is the use of pit latrines in managing human wastes. The data sources provided basis for calculation of emissions associated with managing human wastes.

Informal sector data; a sample of 81 informal sector activities categorized as; small and medium scale industries in recycling, food processing, retail trading, recharging services and restaurants were selected randomly from the economic zones within and without the geographic extent of the community. Data was collected on source energy, type, average use and adjusted to standard units, monthly averages and annual averages. This data is utilized to estimate the emissions from the informal sector.

Mapping data; the inventory made use of the recent mapping exercises under the Kampala physical development plan in which building footprints were used to estimate number of structure by category when overlaid with land use map. The land use map is based on 2011 imagery and the buildings. This data is less accurate for emissions estimates because even with overlays on land use data, it was difficult to estimate floor area since some of the structures are storied while many are single story.

6. Kampala's setting and development trajectory

Kampala City is a described as a primate city in Uganda due to its shear share of the urban population and dominance of urban functions. The city is geographically situated 0°19'North latitude and 32°25' East longitude [11,15]. The city has recently emerged as an engine of growth for the country registering a national average economic growth rate of 7.4% in the last 7 years [6]. Kampala's commercial and industrial activities contribute significantly to GDP of the country. Apart from being the political hub of the country, Kampala is the industrial but also educational center of the country attracting various activities that are energy intensive. The city is administratively comprised of five lower municipalities responsible for local planning though resource allocation and implementation is centralized at the city level with the new Act. These municipalities include Kampala Central Division, Kawempe Division, Makindye Division, Nakawa Division and Lubaga Division. The national census in 2002 estimated the population of the city at 1,189,142. This figure was adjusted to 1,420,200 in 2008 following the national demographic and health survey of 2007. In 2011 the mid-year population of the city was estimated at 1,659,600 (UBOS 2009) and the recent survey preceding the Kampala Physical Development Plan estimates the population at 1.72 million within the city boundary and 3.56 m in the city region. A range of activities in the city that underpin energy use include industries of different scales, electricity generation, residential buildings and the skewed reliance on biomass energy particularly wood fuel and charcoal. But future trajectories indicate growth in all sectors and limited awareness nor strategies to reduce carbon footprint of the city has been raised.



Map 1: Kampala City and City-Region Source KPDP 2012

7. GHG Emission Summary

				Gases(tor			
GHG Emission Sources	IPCC Code	Scope	Accounting Approach	CO ₂	CH₄	N ₂ 0	CO₂e
Stationary Units			1				
Residential Buildings							
Direct Emissions	1A4b	Scope 1	In-Boundary	250.57	0.4	0.00	366.8
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary	3478.063115	4.77	0.06	4957.7
Commercial/Institut consumption in stree operations)							
Direct Emissions	1A4a	Scope 1	In-Boundary	1,217.39	0.55	0.00690 5968	700000000000000000000000000000000000000
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope2	In-Boundary	4,088.59	4.77	0.06	
Energy Generation(includes generation of electricity, heating and/or cooling)							
Direct Emissions	1A1a	Scope 1	In-Boundary	797,821			797820.7
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary	10972.5			10972.5
Energy Use in Industrial Activities							
Direct Emissions	1A1b- c+1A2+1 A5+1A4 C	Scope 1	In-Boundary	797,821			797820.7
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary	10972.5			10972.5
Mobile Units							
On-Road Transportation(Cars LDV,HDV/Buses, Others)							
Direct Emissions	1A3b	Scope 1	In-Boundary	2229.946305	0.0008744 08		2230.4
Energy Indirect Emissions	51	Scope 2	In-Boundary	512.88765			512.9
Railways (including urban metro/rail transport systems)							
Direct Emissions	1A3C	Scope 1	In-Boundary and Proportional Responsibility	1.268498623	0.0001924 7	2.88705 E-09	

Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary and Proportional Responsibility	0.000747508	1.1342E- 10	1.7013E- 09	0.0
Water-Borne Navigation		8	1				
Direct Emissions	1A3dii	Scope 1	In-Boundary	0.02	2.49995E- 06	3.74992 E-08	0.0
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary				0.0
Indirect Emissions from Inter-City Domestic Marine Trips	1A3dii	Scope 3	Origination				0.0
Indirect Emissions from International Marine Trips	1A3dii	Scope 3	Origination				0.0
Aviation							
Direct Emissions	1A3aii	Scope 1	In-Boundary				0.0
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary	23658.81135	0.0121154 65	0.00403 8488	23662.7
Indirect Emissions from Inter-City Domestic Flights(LTO and Cruise)	1A3aii	Scope 3	Origination		8 3		0.0
Indirect Emissions from Inter-City International Flights(LTO and Cruise)	1A3ai	Scope 3	Origination				0.0
Off-Road							
Direct Emissions	1A3eii	Scope 1	In-Boundary				0.0
Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2	In-Boundary				0.0
Waste		11-					
Solid Waste Disposal						(A)	
Future Indirect Emissions from Community Generated Waste Landfilled in the Community in the Analysis-Year	4A	Scope 3	Proportional Responsibility	96.50857438	186.72	0.73	57993.5
Future Indirect Emissions from Other Communities` Waste Landfilled in the Community in the Analysis-Year	4A	Scope 3	Proportional Responsibility	19.30	37.34	0.15	11598.7
Future Indirect Emissions Community Generated W Landfilled outside the Co in the Analysis-Year	aste mmunity	Scope 3	Proportional Responsibility	106.1594318	205.38692 31	0.79769 2918	63792.9
Biological Treatment of Waste							
Direct Emissions from Community Generated Waste	4B	Scope 1	Proportional Respon	nsibility			0.0
Direct Emissions from Communities` Waste	4B	Scope 1	Proportional Responsibility				0.0

Treatment							
Emissions from Community Generated Waste Treated Outside the Community		Scope 3	Proportional Responsibility		9		0.0
Incineration and Open							
burning. Direct Emissions from	4C	C1	D	0.230080506		-	0.0
Community Generated	4C	Scope 1	Proportional Responsibility	0.230080506			0.2
Waste Incineration	l		Responsibility	1 1			
Direct Emissions from	4C	Scope 1	Proportional				0.0
Communities` Waste	19933	0.00 to \$15.00 to	Responsibility	1 1			
Incineration							
Emissions from Commun		Scope 3	Proportional	1.380483039			1.4
Generated Waste Incinera	ated		Responsibility	1 1			
Outside the Community						-	
Waste Water Treatment and	l			1 1			
discharge	l			1 1			
Direct Emissions from	4D	Scope 1	Proportional Resp	nnsihility	42.228	16.6175	13439.6
Community Generated	1.0	beope 1	Troportional resp	onsionity	12.220	10.0175	10107.0
Wastewater Treatment							
Direct Emissions from	4D	Scope 1	Proportional	14.399	183.6	0.7225	56945.6
Communities`			Responsibility				
Wastewater Treatment							
Emissions from Commun		Scope 3	Proportional				0.0
Generated Wastewater Ti	reated		Responsibility	1 1			
Outside the Community			0 0	 			
IPPU					,		
Industrial Processes and Product Uses							
Direct Emissions From	2A+2B+	Scope 1	In-Boundary		47.58	31.43	15408.6
Industrial Processes	2C+2E	200	1000				
Direct Emissions From		Scope 1	In-Boundary		44.83	29.61	14517.9
Product Use	2G+2H		4	-		-	
Additional Sources to 2012 Standard	l			1 1			
Stationary Units				1			
Stationary Units indirect	_			 	- 1		0.0
Emissions	l			1 1			0.0
Mobile Units			8		- 1		
Mobile Units Other	\vdash		+	 	- 1	 	0.0
Indirect Emissions]			0.0
Waste				 	-		0.0
And Personal States							17000000
Waste Other Indirect	l			1 1			0.0
Emissions IPPU	 	1.		 		.:-	
						ļ ļ.	1,000
IPPU Other Indirect							0.0
Emissions			- 40	 		 	
AFOLU	<u> </u>		16			19	
AFOLU Direct Emissions	3	Scope 1		6.69			6.7
AFOLU Other Indirect		Scope 3		28.81			28.8

Emissions				
Fugitive Emissions				
Direct Emissions	1B	Scope 1		0.0
Fugitive Other Indirect Emissions		Scope 3		0.0
Other Emissions				
Other Direct Emissions	T	Scope 1		0.0
Other Energy Indirect Emissions		Scope 2		0.0
Other Indirect Emission		Scope 3		0.0

8. Aggregate GHG Emissions

Sector	- A A STATE OF THE	Subsector Total(tCO ₂ e)	Subtotal (tCO ₂ e)	500000000000000000000000000000000000000		GHG Emissions Sources	GPC No.	3	Explanation		
		Lip			CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O				
		Residential buildings	366.83		250.57	116.16	0.10	Stationary Units Residential Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	I.1.i		
Stationary Units	5324.534123	120	4957.70		3478.063	1478.37	1.27	Stationary Units Residential Energy indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	I.1.ii		
		Commercial Institutional Facilities	1386.80		1,217.39	169.26	0.15	Stationary Units Commercial/Instituti onal Facilities Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	I.2.i		
			5568.23		4,088.59	1,478.37	1.27	Stationary Units Commercial/Instituti onal Energy indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	I.2.ii		
		Energy Generation	10972.50		10972.5			Stationary Units Energy Generation Energy indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	I.3.iii		
		Industrial Energy Use	15408.58		797,821			Stationary Units Industrial Energy Use Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	I.4.i		
			14517.86		10972.5			Stationary Units Industrial Energy Use Energy indirect	I.4.ii		

							Emissions (Scope 2)		
Mobile Units	26407.24101	On-Road Transportatio n (Cars, LDV, HDV Buses, Others)	2230,35	2229.946 305	0.271066 514	0.136873 218	Mobile Units On-Road Transportation (Cars, LDV, HDV Buses, Others) Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	II.1.i	
			512.89	512.8876 5			Mobile Units On-Road Transportation (Cars, LDV, HDV Buses, Others) Energy Indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	II.1.ii	
							Mobile Units Railway (Including Urban Metro/Rail Transportation Systems) Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	II.2.i	
		Railways (Including Urban Mechanical Transportatio n Systems)	1.33	0.000747 508	3.51602E- 08	3.57273E- 08	Mobile Units Railway (Including Urban Metro/Rail Transportation Systems) Energy Indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	II.2.ii	
			0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	Mobile Units Water Borne Navigation Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	II.3.i	
		Water Borne Navigation	0.02				Mobile Units Water Borne Navigation Energy Indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	II.3.ii	

1 1								Mobile Units Water		
1 1								Borne Navigation		
1 1								Indirect Emissions		
1 1								From Inter-City		
1 1								Domestic Marine		
			0.00					Trips (Scope 3)	II.3.iii	
		10			22	7		Mobile Units Water		
1 1								Borne Navigation		
1 1								Indirect Emissions		
1 1								From International		
1 1								Marine Trips (Scope		
								3)	II.3.iv	
1						-	-	Mobile Units Aviation	H.J.IV	
1 1								Direct Emissions		
1 1		Aviation	0.00					(Scope 1)	II.4.i	
1	- 6	Aviation	0.00		<i>(i</i> -		-		11.4.1	 -
					22750.01	2.75570.4	0.004000	Mobile Units Aviation		
			married and		23658.81	3.755794	0.084808	Energy Indirect	TT 4 ···	
$\overline{}$			23662.65		135	234	257	Emissions (Scope 2)	II.4.ii	
					ľ			Mobile Units Aviation		
1 1								Energy Indirect		
1 1								Emissions From		
1 1								Inter-City Domestic		
1 1								Flights (LTO and		
								Cruise)(Scope 3)	II.4.iii	
								Mobile Units Aviation		
								Energy Indirect		
								Emissions From		
								Inter-City		
								International Flights		
								(LTO and		
		Li,						Cruise)(Scope 3)	II.4.iv	
								Mobile Units Off-Road		
1 1				I				Direct Emissions		
								Direct Emissions	'	

		Off-Road		A			Mobile Units Off-Road Energy Indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	II.5.ii	
Waste	203771.8945	Solid Waste Disposal	57993.51	96.50857 438	57,881.77	15.23	Waste Solid Waste Future Indirect Emissions From Community Generated Waste Landfilled in the Community in the Analysis Year (Scope 3)	III.1.i	
			11598.70	19.30		3.05	Waste Solid Waste Disposal Future Indirect Emissions From Community Generated Waste Landfilled Outside the Community in the Analysis Year (Scope	III.1.iii	
			63792.86	106.1594 318	205.3869 231	0.797692 918	Future Indirect Emissions from Community Generated Waste Landfilled outside the Community in the Analysis-Year	III.2.i	
							Waste Biological Treatment of Waste Direct Emissions from Community Generated Waste (Scope 1)		

Biological Treatment Of Waste						Waste Biological Treatment of Waste Emissions from Community Generated Waste Treated Outside the Community (Scope 3)	III.2.iii	
Waste Incineration and Open Burning	0.23	0.2	30080 506			Waste Incineration and Open-Burning Direct Emissions from Community Generated Incineration (Scope 1)	III.3.i	
	1.38	1.3	80483 039			Waste Incineration and Open-Burning Emissions from Community Generated Waste Incinerated Outside the Community (Scope 3)	III.3.iii	
Waste Water Treatment and Discharge	70385.22			13090.68	348.9675	Waste Water Treatment and Discharge Direct Emissions from Community Generated Waste Water Treatment (Scope 1)	III.4.i	
	0.00	1	14.399	183.6	0.7225	Waste Water Treatment and Discharge Emissions from Community	III.4.iii	

							Generated Waste Water Treated Outside the Community (Scope 3) IPPU Direct Emissions from Industrial Processes			
IPPU	29926.44348	Industrial Processes and Product Uses	29926.44	0	44.82594	659.97 29.61040 982	(Scope 1) IPPU Direct Emissions from the Product Use (Scope 1)	IV.1.i		
		Total Community Emissions (tCO2e) by 2012 Accounting Standard(For Benchmarkin g)	313,284							
	Direct Emission	ns Accounted					Stationary Units Energy Generation Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	L3.i	IE- indicate d Elsewh ere	Accounted Under Energy Indirect Emissions (Scope 2)
							Waste Solid Waste Disposal Future Indirect Emissions From Community Generated Waste Landfilled Outside the		IE- indicate d Elsewh ere	Accounted in Inventories of those Communitie s Who Import

				Community in the Analysis-Year (Scope)			Waste
				Waste Biological Treatment of Waste Direct Emissions From Other Communities` Waste Treatment (Scope1)	III.2.ii	IE- indicate d Elsewh ere	Accounted in Inventories of those Communitie s Who Import Waste
				Waste Incineration and Open Burning Direct Emissions from Other Communities` Waste Incineration (Scop1)	III.3.ii	IE- indicate d Elsewh ere	Accounted in Inventories of those Communitie s Who Import Waste
				Waste Water Treatment and Discharge Direct Emissions From Other Communities` Waste Water Treatment (Scope 1)	III.4.ii	IE- indicate d Elsewh ere	Accounted in Inventories of those Communitie s Who Import Waste
Optional		11		Stationary Units Indirect Emissions (Scope 3)	V.1.i		
				Mobile Units Other Indirect Emissions (Scope 3) Waste Other Indirect	V.2.i		
		*1		Emissions (Scope 3) IPPU Indirect Emissions (Scope 1)	V.3.i V.4.i		

AFOLU	6.694993691				AFOLU Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	V.5.i	
	28.80563952	35.4566			AFOLU Other Indirect Emissions (Scope 3)	V.5.ii	
					Fugitive Emissions Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	V.6.i	
					Fugitive Other Indirect Emissions (Scope 1)	V.6.ii	
					Other Direct Emissions (Scope 1)	V.7.i	
					Other Energy indirect Emissions (Scope 2)	V.7.iii	
					Other Indirect Emissions(Scope 3)	V.7.iii	
	Aggregate tCO ₂ e by Scope	tCO ₂ e Scope-	183,497				
		tCO ₂ e Scope- 2	36,531				
		tCO ₂ e Scope-	93,256				

9. Uncertainty, Quality issues and data gaps

The multiple sources of data and time when data were collected are largely the major sources of uncertainties of emissions [7,10,16]. As indicated in materials used in the inventory, there are three major data sources. These include the demographic and health survey, the business register and the survey conducted before preparation of the Kampala Physical Development Plan 2011/2012. The enumeration units differ slightly as well as the samples that were determined using different methods and are of differing sizes. This is coupled with the varied scales at which activities are conducted in the city that makes it difficult for accurate estimates. For example, residential and industrial buildings energy use depends on a mix that includes onsite generation using diesel fuels. The intensities and usage data differs greatly from neighborhood to another as well as at household level. Data on housing though available from recent satellite imagery extraction has the challenge of disaggregating housing units by type to make accurate estimates of energy consumption. The major source of stationary units activity data, which was utilized in the estimates is the survey that has sample size and sampling procedure, which wasn't designed for inventorying emissions. But there are also uncertainties about the sampling and representation of informal commercial activities as well as institutions whose energy use is varied due the scales of operations. Generally there are uncertainties around averages derived from the data on these sub-categories. Data on industry and manufacturing is the most scanty and comparatively less reliable [5]. This data mainly comes from Uganda Investment Authority, which licenses businesses but does not keep up to date data on functional industries. Whereas reliability of transportation data is relatively high though energy use is varied as established during the survey of informal activities. Thus in general uncertainties in that recent data may well contribute to ±15% as colloborated with the sample survey of the informal sector.